This memorandum relates to the **MODEL STATUTE IDENTIFYING AND MITIGATING CUMULATIVE IMPACTS IN ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE AREAS.**

**Introduction**

This model bill addresses the issue of cumulative impacts, which arise when multiple sources of pollution and other environmental and public health stressors exist in a community, affecting the health and well-being of residents in ways that may not be identifiable when examining the effects of a single stressor or source of pollution.\(^1\) Pollution from even a single source in isolation can have detrimental health effects for those who live, work, attend school, or recreate nearby.\(^2\) Even exposures to common pollutants can have a number of serious negative health effects,\(^3\) which are compounded when a person is exposed to multiple sources and types of environmental pollution.\(^4\)

These overlapping burdens are more likely to exist in low-income communities and communities of color and are exacerbated by existing inequities caused by historic discrimination, marginalization, and overburdening.\(^5\) A variety of environmental, social, and socioeconomic factors can limit the ability of some communities to engage fully in public decision-making processes that can influence where environmental hazards are located.\(^6\) While these communities are more likely to be burdened with pollution, residents are more likely to suffer from cardiovascular disease, diabetes, asthma, cancer, reproductive harms, and premature mortality, as well as other medical conditions that leave them more susceptible to the negative health effects of pollution.\(^7\) The legacy of racism, income inequality, and marginalization exacerbates these effects. Limited access to essential services like affordable housing, quality healthcare, clean water, and reliable home heating and energy, combined with obstacles to social and economic mobility, increase a community’s susceptibility to environmental harms and toxins.\(^8\)

While existing environmental laws at the state and federal level often assess the potential impacts of individual facilities in isolation, the cumulative impacts framework requires an
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assessment of how multiple environmental stressors may interact to create additional environmental and public health burdens for the surrounding community and its residents. To help address the issue of cumulative impacts in disadvantaged communities, this bill requires the state environmental protection agency to identify the areas most likely to be disproportionately burdened by environmental hazards and to regularly report on the cumulative impacts experienced in the affected communities. By requiring the state environmental protection agency to develop and publish cumulative impact assessments, this bill promotes public awareness, engagement, and advocacy among impacted communities, and increases transparency, accountability, and regulatory focus on the issue of cumulative impacts.

**Functions of the Bill**

The bill first requires the state environmental protection agency to identify and publish a list of environmental justice areas in the state. Detailed information on the designation of these areas is included below in the section titled “Environmental Justice Area Definition,” but in short, the bill aims to capture the areas that are most likely to be burdened by disproportionate environmental hazards and/or have decreased ability to participate in public decision-making processes that can influence the location of environmental hazards. The bill requires the agency to accept public comments on the composition of this list, regularly update it, and notify relevant local and tribal governments of the designation of areas in their jurisdiction to promote ongoing public awareness and engagement on the issue of cumulative impacts.

In addition to publishing a list of environmental justice areas, the bill also requires the agency to publish an interactive map of all such designated areas on its website. This will enable the public to identify environmental justice areas and view the distribution and density of specific environmental hazards and community exposure points—locations where particularly vulnerable members of the public, such as children, the elderly, and those with underlying medical conditions, are likely to spend time—in relation to those areas. By making this information publicly available in an accessible and interactive format, the agency can improve transparency, engagement, and accountability for impacted communities. Community members will be further empowered to advocate about issues they face by highlighting the aggregate
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impact of overlapping sources of pollution on public health and quality of life.11 Because the
development of an interactive map can be costly, the bill draws from widely available, frequently
updated public datasets compiled by the U.S. Census Bureau and permits the state to use an
existing mapping tool so long as it includes substantially similar information. The agency is also
required to solicit public comments on the map when it is first published and then provide an
updated version of the map that addresses these comments.

Although the interactive map will improve public awareness of the disproportionate
burdens of pollution in environmental justice areas, this tool is meant to provide baseline
information for use in more in-depth research and reporting.12 To that end, the agency must also
compile and publish a cumulative impact report, which will identify a number of environmental
hazards that might affect designated environmental justice areas in the state as well as the health
effects associated with those hazards. In addition to reporting on air pollution, traffic, and water
contamination in environmental justice areas, the bill provides a comprehensive list of polluting
facilities that must be included in the report, including waste processing and management
facilities, chemical manufacturing facilities, agricultural operations, and a variety of facilities
operating pursuant to federal permits that regulate hazardous waste and air and water pollution.
The bill also encourages the state environmental protection agency to use its discretion to
identify additional sources of pollution that are relevant to local communities for inclusion in the
report. For each identified source of pollution, the report must trace potential routes of exposure
from the source’s pollutants in the affected community.

Public participation and engagement is an integral component of the development of the
agency’s cumulative impact report. Under the bill, the agency is required to solicit public
comments on the subject of cumulative impacts prior to publishing its first report and future
iterations. This will allow impacted communities to contribute their perspective during the
development of the report and identify areas of concern that may have been otherwise
overlooked by the agency. Each comment period is structured to increase community
participation through the availability of interpretation and transcription services, as well as
multiple opportunities and ways to provide input. Because public input is an integral component
of engagement for environmental justice communities, the availability of these opportunities and
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instructions for participating in them should be clear to those who may wish to provide comments.

The bill also includes mechanisms to promote engagement with the cumulative impacts resources and accountability for mitigating identified impacts. Within one year of the publication of the initial report, the agency is required to publish a supplemental report making recommendations to address the hazards identified in the report. When the report is updated every four years, the agency is required to explain the actions it has taken to implement the previous report’s recommendations and make new recommendations to carry this work forward. If the agency fails to produce or update the list of environmental justice areas, cumulative impact map, or cumulative impact report within the timeframes established by the statute, it is required to publish an explanation for the delay on its website before it may issue or renew permits for the sources of pollution covered by the report. If it does not comply with this requirement, affected members of the public are granted a private right of action to initiate a lawsuit to require the agency to comply with its obligations under the bill. The agency is also required to consider both the map and the report in its decision-making, and either resource may be used as evidence in siting and permitting proceedings, providing a tool for community members and advocates challenging the development or operation of polluting facilities.

Environmental Justice Area Definition

This bill uses the term “environmental justice area” to designate areas that are known to experience or are likely to experience disproportionate environmental hazards, as well as communities that may face increased obstacles to participating in public decision-making processes. Under this bill, research and reporting on the extent of cumulative impacts in these areas is then completed by the state environmental protection agency. The bill’s definition of “environmental justice area” is critical to ensuring that the benefits of the bill flow to the communities most likely to be affected by cumulative impacts. To that end, the definition uses four criteria to identify qualifying areas: 1) areas that qualify based on a single demographic factor that is closely tied to increased risk or decreased public participation; 2) areas ranking highly on national indices of susceptibility to environmental pollution; 3) lands of federally recognized tribes; and 4) specially designated areas.

1. Demographics
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The language used in cumulative impacts legislation can vary, but this bill uses the term “environmental justice area” rather than “overburdened” or “disadvantaged community” because it intends to capture communities that are most likely to be affected by cumulative impacts, therefore warranting additional attention in decision-making processes. It does not assume that all communities that meet these criteria are necessarily overburdened or disproportionately impacted by environmental stressors. Similarly, the word “area” is used in place of “community” to acknowledge that the boundaries used by the definition do not necessarily correspond with organic community boundaries and that multiple communities may overlap or intersect within a single qualifying area.
First, an area may be designated as an environmental justice area based on the demographics of its residents, as determined by the most recent U.S. Census or American Community Survey. Communities with more low-income households, households with limited English proficiency, or limited formal education are included, as these demographics correspond to increased vulnerability to environmental hazards\(^1\) or decreased ability to participate in public decision-making processes.\(^2\) Information on these demographics is widely available, regularly updated, and fairly high-resolution, making these neighborhood characteristics well-suited to use in statewide legislation, particularly in jurisdictions that do not have resources to conduct additional data collection.\(^3\)

The demographic category also incorporates racial demographics\(^4\) based on the close correlation between race and exposure to environmental hazards.\(^5\) Racial demographics are a significant predictor of the distribution of environmental burdens because of the legacy of racial segregation and discrimination, and the “spatially concentrated disproportionate pollution burdens in communities of color” that increase their risk of exposure to environmental harms.\(^6\) More information about this designation, including an analysis of the potential legal implications of including this factor, is included below in the section titled “Use of race in designation of environmental justice area.”

2. **Susceptibility metrics**

In addition to the single demographic metrics, the bill includes areas that have been designated as highly susceptible to environmental pollution by the United States Environmental
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Protection Agency’s demographic indices. While these indices rely on much of the same demographic data included in the demographic definition, a high overall score on these susceptibility metrics may help to identify additional communities that fall slightly below the demographic thresholds identified but that are nevertheless still at heightened risk of cumulative impacts. As discussed in the section below on the use of race in designating environmental justice areas, the United States Environmental Protection Agency EJSCREEN demographic index relies in part on racial demographics.

3. **Tribal land**
   The designation of lands of federally recognized tribes as “environmental justice areas” serves two purposes. First, it recognizes that Indigenous communities bear a disproportionate share of pollution. Second, it recognizes the unique status and sovereignty of tribal nations by ensuring that they are included and adequately consulted in decisions impacting their land.

4. **Agency approval**
   Lastly, the state environmental protection agency may designate additional areas as “environmental justice areas” if they are particularly vulnerable to environmental or public health hazards, have a history of disproportionate environmental burdens, or have a diminished capacity for public participation. This category gives the agency some discretion to identify additional areas that may benefit from the designation, and encourages flexibility in recognizing the input of community organizations, residents, and advocates who are closely connected to the issue of cumulative impacts. By doing so, it gives communities an opportunity to identify themselves for further consideration and ensures that no overburdened community is categorically excluded from being designated an environmental justice area.

**Additional Issues to Consider**

**Use of race in designation of environmental justice area:**
This model bill utilizes racial demographics in its definition of “environmental justice area,” a term that is used to identify areas that are more likely to be burdened by environmental hazards or associated health problems. These demographics are a strong predictor of an area’s
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exposure to environmental hazards, making them a particularly salient metric for this bill. However, their inclusion in this definition may increase the risk of litigation under state or federal equal protection law, which could delay or completely prevent implementation of the bill. Federal courts in multiple states have recently halted federal programs that contain racial classifications on the grounds that these classifications violate the Constitution’s Equal Protection Clause.

While the use of race-conscious metrics carries a risk of litigation, legislators might reduce this risk by ensuring that the use of race in their bill is narrowly tailored to achieve a compelling government interest, such as remedying past discrimination. The Supreme Court's recent decision in Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. President and Fellows of Harvard College affirmed this standard for the consideration of race in government decision-making. Legislators wishing to further minimize the risk of an equal protection challenge can modify the definition of “environmental justice area” to eliminate the use of racial demographics. To do so, provision [2.5(a)(ii)] should be removed, as well as the reference to “United States Environmental Protection Agency EJSCREEN demographic index” in [2.5(b)]. Legislators should also consider the extent to which state constitutional law may limit the use of race.

**Jurisdictions Implementing Similar Legislation**

A number of state and local governments have created similar maps to increase public awareness of environmental justice issues and inform government decision-making. This bill draws in part from provisions of the environmental justice bills passed in New Jersey and New York. In September 2020, after years of research and activism, environmental justice advocates in New Jersey led the passage of the nation’s first comprehensive environmental justice and cumulative impacts law. Information on New Jersey’s identification and mapping of overburdened communities is available here. The following groups, among others, were leading
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Information on New York’s process for identifying and mapping disadvantaged communities is available [here](#). The passage of New York’s environmental justice legislation was the result of the efforts of numerous organizations across the state, co-led by WE ACT for Environmental Justice, South Bronx Unite, and the JustGreen Partnership, along with Clean and Healthy New York, New York Lawyers for the Public Interest, Riverkeeper, Sierra Club Atlantic Chapter, New York State American Academy of Pediatrics, Environmental Advocates NY, Moms for a Nontoxic New York, Earthjustice, 350 Brooklyn/City Action, and others.